

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/799,172	03/12/2004	Barry Lynn Bradford	99-063-MIX	8153
7590 05/17/2005			EXAMINER	
Timothy R. Schulte			VU, VIET DUY	
Storage Technology Corporation One Storage Tek Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Louisville, CO 80028-4309			2154	

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/799,172	BRADFORD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Viet Vu	2154			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	1				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IT THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) My statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>06 January 2005</u> .				
<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3,5-11,14,16-22,26-29,31,32,</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wis. 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>14 and 16-22</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,7-10,26,28,31,36-39,44 and 4.</u> 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,5,6,11,27,29,32,34,35,40,45,4.</u> 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration. <u>19-52</u> is/are rejected. <u>47,48 <i>and</i> 53</u> is/are objected t				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abey	/ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by		• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International Experiment of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the application from the International Experiment of the certified copies of the application from the International Experiment of the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Experiment of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified c	uments have been received. uments have been received in e priority documents have be Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	48) Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	ffice Action Summary	Part of Paper No /Mail Date 05122005			

Art Rejections:

1. The text of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) cited in the previous office action is hereby incorporated by reference.

2. Claims 2, 7-10, 26, 28, 31, 36-39, 44 and 49-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahmed et al, U.S. pat. No. 6,629,148.

Per claim 2, Ahmed discloses a method and system for balancing loads between a plurality of paths comprising:

- a) identifying a path having a highest total path usage (<u>see col</u> 8, lines 23-31),
- b) identifying a path having a lowest total path usage (<u>col 8</u>, line 32),
- c) calculating a difference between the highest path usage and the lowest path usage (col 8, lines 34-35),
- d) performing load balancing if the calculated difference exceeds a threshold amount by moving the path having highest path usage from receiving new traffic (i.e., setting the PHS value to zero) (see col 6, lines 15-19 and col 7, lines 34-38).

Ahmed does not explicitly associating each path usage with an I/O device.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Ahmed's

invention in any conventional network applications including network storage device because it would have allowed balancing loads for such network resources (see col 10, lines 33-45).

Per claims 7-10, Ahmed teaches that the path usage is calculated based upon number of connections, response time, etc., (see col 5, lines 21-43).

Claims 26, 28, 31, 36-39, 44 and 49-52 are similar in scope as that of claims 2 and 7-10.

Allowable Subject Matter:

- 3. Claims 3, 5-6, 11, 27, 29, 32, 34-35, 40, 45, 47-48 and 53 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claims 14 and 16-22 are allowed over prior art of record.

Response to Amendment:

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 1/6/2005 with respect to claims 2, 7-10, 26, 28, 31, 36-39, 44 and 49-52 have been fully considered but are moot in view of new ground of rejection set forth above.

Art Unit: 2154

Conclusion:

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-3977. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:00am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee, can be reached on 571-272-3964.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuy)n

Page 4

VIET D. VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 2154 5/12/05